## NEW ENCLANDER

## Chess Club Update - November 2008

## Chairman's Chatter

At the AGM, a reasonable request was made for stronger players to mentor other club members. This issue comes as a response and a challenge.
There is a section called Positional Play which will give you the opportunity to test your judgement and compare it against the club champion's. This first part sets the scene and gives a grandmaster game for you to assess. Next month, there will be a follow-up in which the game is annotated and the club's efforts to play at a higher level will be discussed. Obviously, if you do not send in your attempts, there will be nothing to write about. So get analysing!

Paul Stanks

## Puzzle Problem

White to play and mate in 2.


Last Month's solution
In Forsyth notation : k7/Pr6/2K5/8/8/8/5Q2/7B
1 Qb6 Rxb6 2 Kxb6\#
1 ... Rxa7 2 Qd8\#
1 ... Rc7+ 2 Kxc7\#
1 ... R other 2 Qb8\#

## Result Round-up

| New England A | $\mathbf{3}$ | Warboys A | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| C Ross | 1 | M Misson | 0 |
| F Bowers | $1 / 2$ | C Watkins | $1 / 2$ |
| P Turp | 0 | R Mann | 1 |
| P Hanks | 1 | R Beck | 0 |
| S Caraway | $1 / 2$ | B Duff | $1 / 2$ |


| Peterborough A | $\mathbf{3}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| A Timoshenko | $1 / 2$ | C Ross | $1 / 2$ |
| R llett | 1 | F Bowers | 0 |
| R Sayers | 1 | P Turp | 0 |
| A Summers | $1 / 2$ | P Hanks | $1 / 2$ |
| M Connolly | 0 | S Caraway | 1 |


| Warboys B | $\mathbf{3} 1 / 2$ | New England B | $1 / 2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| J Beck | $1 / 2$ | J Osborne | $1 / 2$ |
| P Clough | 1 | C Russell | 0 |
| P Baddeley | 1 | A Brookbanks | 0 |
| M Onyons | 1 | Default | 0 |


| Mini-Lightning 17/9/08 | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}$ | $\boldsymbol{H}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chris Ross | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Paul Hanks | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | $3 ½$ |
| Sam Caraway | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Des Lane | 0 | $11 / 2$ | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | 1 | $21 / 2$ |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | 1 |
| Harry Currie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | 0 |

Club Championship

| Division One | S | F | C | R | P | P | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C Caraway | X | R | J | H | T |  |  |
| F Bowers |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| C Ross |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  | $1 / 2$ |  | $1 / 2 / 1 / 1$ |
| R Jones |  | 0 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  | $0 / 1$ |
| P Hanks |  |  | $1 / 2$ |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 | $11 / 2 / 2$ |
| P Turp | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  | 0 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $1 / 2 / 2$ |


| Division Two | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{w} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{A} \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{w} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~T} \end{array}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H Currie | X |  |  |  |  |  | P | P | $0 / 0$ |
| N Wedley |  | X |  |  | $?$ |  | 1 |  | 1/1 |
| C Collins |  |  | X | P |  | 0 |  |  | 0/1 |
| C Russell |  |  | P | X | P |  |  |  | $0 / 0$ |
| A Brookbanks |  | $?$ |  | P | X |  |  |  | $0 / 0$ |
| D Lane |  |  | 1 |  |  | X |  | 1 | 212 |
| S Walker | P | 0 |  |  |  |  | X |  | 0/1 |
| M Tarabad | P |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | X | 0/1 |

## Diary Dates

The draw for the club championship is appended to the end of this newsletter. The dates to note are :-

|  | Division One | Division Two |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Round 1 | $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ October | $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ October |
| Round 2 | $\mathbf{1 5}^{\text {th }}$ October | $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {td }}$ October |
| Round 3 | $\mathbf{1 9}^{\text {th }}$ November | $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {th }}$ November |
| Round 4 | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ December | $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {th }}$ November |
| Round 5 | $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ January | $\mathbf{1 4}^{\text {th }}$ January |
| Round 6 | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ February | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ February |
| Round 7 | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ March | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ March |

Please note that the evenings of $10^{\text {th }}$ December and $25^{\text {th }}$ February are available for game postponements but contestants should clear any backlog as quickly as possible.
Contact details for participants are listed below. Please let me know if there are any changes.

| Name | Telephone |
| :--- | :--- |
| Paul Hanks | 01733343017 |
| Chris Russell | $01733203653(\mathrm{H})$ <br> $01733582993(\mathrm{~W})$ |
| Harry Currie | 01733241189 |
| Francis Bowers | 01733579569 |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | $01778348183(\mathrm{H})$ <br> $01733583261(\mathrm{~W})$ |
| Des Lane | 01778345540 |
| Ron Jones | 01778346089 |
| John Osborne | 01733370756 |
| Steve Walker | 01733566303 |
| Norman Wedley | 01733263337 |
| Chris Ross | $01480431962(\mathrm{H})$ |
| $07786064537(\mathrm{M})$ |  |
| Phil Turp | 01733252319 |
| Chris Collins | 01945465176 |
| Alan Brookbanks | 01733320787 |
| Samuel Caraway | 07908179076 |
| Jack Alster | 01733563335 |

## Website to Watch

November sees the Chess Olympiad being staged in Dresden, Germany. It takes place from $12^{\text {th }}$ to $25^{\text {th }}$ of the month with more than 150 competing teams and can be followed on www.dresden2008. de/english. In the next stage of the World Championship cycle, previous holder Topalov meets World Cup winner

Kamsky to find a challenger for Anand after his world championship triumph over Kramnik. The 8 game contest takes place from $28^{\text {th }}$ November to $18^{\text {th }}$ December but www.chessdom.com/news/topalov-kamsky-dubai reports that the arrangements are in trouble. We have been promised links to live action from http://en.chessfish.com/live.

## Eye Opener

During the summer, we practised seeing mating patterns using constructed chess problems. This month's snippet shows how to convert a development advantage with a tactical coup reminiscent of the study positions.

## Lewis v Dayton <br> USA, 1942

1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bc4 Nf6 4 0-0 Nxe4 5 Re1 d5 6 Bb3 Bc5 7 d4 Nxd4 8 Nxe5 Qf6 9 Bxd5
How would you finish the game?


9 Qxf2+
Did anyone suggest $9 \ldots$ Qxe5?

| 10 | Kh1 | Qg1+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Kxg1 | Ne2+ |
| 12 | Kf1 |  |

Obviously not 12 Kh1 Nf2\#

| 12 | $\ldots$ | N4g3+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | hxg3 | Nxg3\# |

This should not encourage you to play the opening as Black has done. The boot can be on the other foot!

## J Buergi v S Wagner

Christmas Open B Zurich, 1996
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bc4 Nf6 4 0-0 Nxe4 5 Bd5 Nf6 6 Bxc6 bxc6 7 Nxe5 Be7 8 d4 Bb7 9 Re1 0-0 10 c3 Re8
Black's last move is not good but can you see why?

| 11 | Qb3 | Rb8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | Nxf7 | $1-0$ |

## Match of the Month

We may cast a jealous eye at the globe-trotting adventures of our club champion but here is a fantastic result he achieved in Austria - beating an IM with the black pieces is something we can all envy!

## H Groetz (IM 2405) v C Ross (2159)

23rd Schwarzacher Open, Round 8, 23.08.08

## 1 e4 c5 2 Nf3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 Nxd4 a6 5 Bd3 Bc5 6 Nb3 Ba7 7 Qe2 Nc6 8 Be3 d6 9 f4

A new move for me. I've never seen this variation before but as opening databases indicate, it is indeed a common enough theme. My reaction is not a good response and one that I won't repeat.

9 ...
e5
A standard move in this set-up. Black wishes to obtain the e5 outpost and control the dark squares - hence the principle of forcing the bishop exchange with Bc5/ Ba7. Although the concept itself is sound, the move is just too early. White can now punish Black for his lack of development.

$$
10 \mathrm{Nc} 3
$$

Nf6
Stopping White from castling queen-side, due to Bg4, but this just encourages White to push his f pawn. The knight was probably best placed on e7.

Black is in trouble after this. Yes, it is again a common enough theme but the lack of development in the position is a vital point that can't be overlooked. After the game, the intriguing $11 \ldots$ a5 was suggested by my opponent.

| 12 | Bxd4 | exd4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Nd5 | Nxd5? |

13 ... 0-0 was the only move here. After the text move, White gets a massive lead in development and a tremendous position. Naturally, I had missed White's clever 16th move.

| 14 | exd5+ | Qe7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | Qxe7+ | Kxe7 |
| 16 | f6+! |  |

Suddenly, Black is in serious difficulties. Taking on f6 with a pawn will simply shatter his pawn structure. Black has doubled $d$ and $f$ pawns - islands of pawns everywhere - and White can pick them off at will especially with his lead in development. Black has little choice now but grovel.

| 16 | $\ldots$ | Kxf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $0-0+$ | Ke7 |
| 18 | Rae1+ | Kf8 |
| 19 | Nd2 |  |

White has sacrificed a pawn for a massive lead in development, complete control of open files and the restriction of the black pieces. In doing this though, he has consumed a lot of time on the clock and this factor will to come back and haunt him later. The text move brings the knight back across to the kingside, from where it will exert great pressure. The position is almost, but not quite, untenable for Black now.

## 20 Ne 4

White gives Black hope. 20 h 3 is simple and strong. The black bishop must then choose where to retreat. On h5, it protects f 7 whereas on d 7 , it supports e6 but the d pawn is left fatally weak. The decision would be impossible to make.

Rd8
But now the black rook has come to life. Not brilliantly, but to life it has come.

21 Rf4
Bc8
The bishop returns to its best square. It doesn't block the defence of the d pawn and protects the e6 square as $\mathrm{Ng} 5 / \mathrm{Ne} 6+$ tactics are in the air.

## 22 Ref1

Black must be careful of 22 Ng 5 as 22 .. Rd7?? loses to 23 Ne6+ Kg8 24 Nc5! [threatening Re8 mate\} with Nxb7 and Re7 ideas.

22
...
f5?!
Too ambitious. 22 f 6 is more cautious. However, I just thought that the knight coming into g5 and then e6 was just too strong. I also wanted to stop any cheeky Nxh7+ tactics. Look at the black king though!

| 23 | g4 | g6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | gxf5 | gxf5 |
| 25 | Ng3 | Kg7 |

The grovel continues. White is on the verge of winning but he needs to finish it off.

| 26 | Bxf5 | Rhf8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | Kg2 |  |

Although not bad, it isn't the best. 27 Kh 1 to avoid any discovered checks by the advance of the d pawn was best. Incidentally, I didn't want to play d4-d3+ since I wanted the c2 pawn exactly where it is to allow some tactics as actually occur in the game. White had now less than 5 minutes to reach the time control.

$$
27 \quad . .
$$

## b5

Bringing the pawn away from potential attacks and planning $\mathrm{Bb} 6 / \mathrm{Ba} 5$ and the re-routing of the black bishop onto an active square.

## 28 Re4

## Rf7

And now, a couple of final moments to play.

## 29 Bxh7

This looks good but gives me counter-play! Any exchanges now will be good for white and make his life much easier. Now comes the point of me not pushing d4-d3 discovered checks.

$$
29 \text {... Rc7!? }
$$

Apparently, 29 ... Rxf1 30 Kxf1 Kxh7 31 Re7+ Kg6 32 Rxa7 Rh8 is equal. I'm not so sure.
30 Bf5
Rxc2+
31 Kh3

Better was 31 Kh1 Rc7 32 Bxc8 Rdxc8 33 Nf5+ Kg8 34 Rg4+ Kh8 35 Nxd6
31 ..

## Rh8+

And Black's getting active! Suddenly, the black pawn on d4 looks a huge threat and the white king has little
room to run. With minutes left on the clock, White simply didn't have time to figure out the complications.


An ambitious, but effective move in my opponent's time trouble. He went for the most logical continuation, which caused him massive problems! Black, however, has now regained his material and got a pawn on the 5th rank. But wait! His bishop on a7 will be left hanging!

## 33 Re7+?

Amazing. In his time trouble, White just misses that 33 Bxc8 wins, as recapturing will allow Re7+ checks and Rxa7 ideas. White went for the check, as that looked the most dangerous, forgetting that his rook can be attacked afterwards!

```
33 ...
Kf6
34 Rxa7
```

Again, playing automatically in his time trouble. 34 Rfe1 was worth consideration.

## 34

$\qquad$
Black's making the same types of mistakes as White, going for simple logical moves, the easy-looking ones. The winning variation is 34 ... R8h4+ 35 Kf3 Bxf5 36 Nxf5 Kxf5 $37 \mathrm{Kg} 3+$ Ke5 38 Rxa6 d3 and the double rook ending is easy to convert.
and somehow, after all the tactics, after all the complications, Black is still, still, incredibly lost!! But White had seconds now, seconds on the clock. He thought he saw the win, but got the moves in the wrong order.

## 36 Nh5+??

An incredible conclusion to a complicated game. The blunder is inexplicable. $36 \mathrm{Ne} 4+$ ! wins, as there is nowhere for the black king to run. 36 Bxe4 $37 \mathrm{Kxe} 4+$ $\mathrm{Kg} 638 \mathrm{Rg} 1+$ wins the rook on g8. And after $36 \mathrm{Ne} 4+$ Ke5 37 Re7+ Kxd5 38 Nf6+ wins the rook on g8 too! A
flurry of amazing inaccuracies at the end of a startling game.

| 36 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37 | Rxa6 |
| 38 | Kf2 |
| 39 | Rb6 |
| 40 | Ke1 |

Rxh5
Rh3+
Ke5
Rh2+
Rgg2 0-1
Chris Ross

Positional Play
Most games that appear in "NEW ENCLANDER" are at the tactical end of the spectrum. This combines the advantages of a short, spectacular game with moves that can be confidently explained with the aid of a computer. This month, I hope you will forgive me by looking at the opposite extreme and asking, "How well do I understand positional play?"
I shall try to add method to my madness as follows :-

- every three moves in a grandmaster game, I shall evaluate the position against a range of factors
- the factors I shall use are
i. king safety
ii. material advantage
iii. initiative
iv. pawn structure weaknesses
v. piece activity and coordination
vi. weak squares
vii. open files
viii. space advantage
- each factor will be scored :
- $0=$ level,
- 1 = slight advantage,
- 2 = definite advantage
- 3 = winning advantage.

A positive number prefers White but if negative, Black is ahead.

- The total score for the factors will constitute a numerical indication of the positional imbalances. The deviation from zero denotes which way I see the tide running (positive if White is ahead, negative if I like Black's position).
I now had to find a suitable game which has few, if any, forced moves due to tactical considerations i.e. it must be "boring"! I have chosen a game played by the prodigy Magnus Carlsen at the recent tournament in Biel. To avoid bias, I shall give it without annotation other than to note the evaluation points (P1, P2, ...)


## Y Pelletier v M Carlsen Biel, 2008

1 d4 Nf6 2 Nf3 e6 3 c4 b6 4 g3 Ba6 5 b3 Bb4+ 6 Bd2 Be7 7 Bg2 d5 8 cxd5 exd5
9 Nc3 0-0 10 0-0 Bb7 11 Rc1 Nbd7 ..... (P1)12 Bf4 c6 13 Qc2 Re8 14 Rcd1 Bb415 Bd2 Rc8 16 Qb2 Qe7 17 Rfe1 Qf8(P2)
18 Bh3 Rcd8 19 Qc2 h6 20 Nh4 c5 ..... (P4)(P3)
21 Nf5 a6 22 Qb2 Bxc3 23 Bxc3 Ne424 dxc5 Nxc3 25 Qxc3 bxc5 26 Qa5 Nf6

27 Qb6 Bc8 28 Kg2 Ne4 29 f3 Ng5
30 g4 h5 31 Rc1 g6 32 Ng3 Nxh3
33 Kxh3 hxg4+ 34 fxg4 Qh6+ 35 Kg2 Bxg4
36 Qxc5 Re3 37 Qd4 Qh3+ 38 Kg1 Rxg3+
39 hxg3 Qxg3+ 40 Kh1 Bf5
Here are my evaluations.

|  | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 | P11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 3 | -1 | 0 | -3 | -1 | -1 | -3 | -7 | -11 | -19 | -19 |
| King safety | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 |
| Material | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -3 |
| Initiative | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 |
| Structure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Coordination | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 |
| Weak squares | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -3 |
| Open files | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 |
| Space | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -2 | -3 |

So, let's review the game. I liked White's position from the opening with potential pressure down the c file and bishops bearing down on c6 and c7. Pelletier seemed to give up on the idea too easily around P2 when Carlsen play c7-c6. This allowed the subsequent advance to c5 which led to Black's space advantage around P6.


The position oscillated with Black marginally better until P7 (above) when he took control. Interestingly, Carlsen's annotations criticise only one move as I later discovered. White's 27 Qb6? Bc8 is followed by the comment. "Pelletier gets too excited and misses a simple tactic ( 28 Nxh6+ gxh6 27 Bxc8 Rd6), after which the white pieces are suddenly loose and soon
everything falls apart. Instead 27 Rc1 Ne4 28 Bc8 or 27 Bg 2 would have led to a normal position on which Black has a weak pawn pair in the centre but should have sufficient dynamic counterplay" - New in Chess, 2008/6.
Another point to note is how late in the game that king safety becomes important. Often, it is the sole preoccupation in our games but at a higher level, it only arises when a sufficient positional advantage has been secured.
I believe my simple system correctly identifies the decline in White's game following his mistake. Obviously, a different order of skill would be required to do this over the board but at least, some of the factors to be considered have been highlighted.
Here is the sting in the tail. I have selected a second game and provided a blank table. You now have the opportunity to make a positional evaluation history for yourself. I shall be inviting Chris Ross to give his opinion and ask everyone else to contribute their thoughts. The club member whose profile most closely follows Chris's gets all the glory in a forthcoming newsletter!

## A Shirov v V Ivanchuk

Foros, Round 8, 2008
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 5 Bd2 Bg7 6 e4 Nb6 7 Be3 0-0
8 Bb5 Qd6 9 Nge2 c6 10 Bd3 e5 (P1)
11 dxe5 Qxe5 12 Bd4 Qe7 13 Bxg7 Kxg7
14 Bc2 Rd8 15 Qc1 Na6 16 0-0 Be6
17 Nf4 Bc4 18 Re1 Nb4 19 a3 Nxc2
20 Qxc2 Qf6 21 Nce2 Rd7 22 b3 Ba6
23 Rad1 Rad8 24 g3 Qe5 25 f3 Kg8
26 a4 h5 27 Kf2 Rd6 28 Qc3 Nd7
29 Rxd6 Qxd6 30 Rc1 Ne5 31 h4 Qf6
32 b4 Rd3 33 Nxd3 Qxf3+ 34 Kg1 Bxd3
35 Qxe5 Qe3+ 36 Kh2 Qxe2+

|  | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| King safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initiative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weak squares |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open files |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please send me your tables for analysis in a forthcoming newsletter.

## Club Championship 2008/9

Please confirm the date of your game with your opponent noting that the first-named player has WHITE.

Division 1

| Round 1 | Date : $8^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S Caraway | P Turp |  |
| F Bowers | Bye 2 |  |
| C Ross | P Hanks |  |
| Bye 1 | R Jones |  |
| Round 2 | Date : 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| Bye 2 | S Caraway |  |
| P Hanks | P Turp |  |
| R Jones | F Bowers |  |
| Bye 1 | C Ross |  |
| Round 3 | Date : $19^{\text {th }}$ November |  |
| S Caraway | P Hanks |  |
| Bye 2 | R Jones |  |
| P Turp | Bye 1 |  |
| $F$ Bowers | C Ross |  |
| Round 4 | Date : $3^{\text {rd }}$ December |  |
| R Jones | S Caraway |  |
| P Hanks | Bye 1 |  |
| C Ross | Bye 2 |  |
| P Turp | F Bowers |  |
| Round 5 | Date : $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| S Caraway | Bye 1 |  |
| R Jones | C Ross |  |
| F Bowers | P Hanks |  |
| Bye 2 | P Turp |  |
| Round 6 | Date : $11^{\text {th }}$ February |  |
| C Ross | S Caraway |  |
| F Bowers | Bye 1 |  |
| P Turp | R Jones |  |
| Bye 2 | P Hanks |  |
| Round 7 | Date: $4^{\text {th }}$ March |  |
| S Caraway | F Bowers |  |
| P Turp | C Ross |  |
| Bye 1 | Bye 2 |  |
| P Hanks | $R$ Jones |  |

Division 2

| Round 1 | Date: $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H Currie | M Tarabad |  |
| N Wedley | S Walker |  |
| C Collins | D Lane |  |
| C Russell | A Brookbanks |  |
| Round 2 | Date : $\mathbf{2 2}^{\text {nd }}$ October |  |
| S Walker | H Currie |  |
| D Lane | M Tarabad |  |
| A Brookbanks | N Wedley |  |
| C Russell | C Collins |  |
| Round 3 | Date : $12^{\text {th }}$ November |  |
| H Currie | D Lane |  |
| S Walker | A Brookbanks |  |
| M Tarabad | C Russell |  |
| N Wedley | C Collins |  |
| Round 4 | Date : $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {th }}$ November |  |
| A Brookbanks | H Currie |  |
| D Lane | C Russell |  |
| C Collins | S Walker |  |
| M Tarabad | N Wedley |  |
| Round 5 | Date : 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| H Currie | C Russell |  |
| A Brookbanks | C Collins |  |
| D Lane | N Wedley |  |
| S Walker | M Tarabad |  |
| Round 6 | Date : $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ February |  |
| C Collins | H Currie |  |
| N Wedley | C Russell |  |
| M Tarabad | A Brookbanks |  |
| S Walker | D Lane |  |
| Round 7 | Date : $4^{\text {th }}$ March |  |
| H Currie | N Wedley |  |
| M Tarabad | C Collins |  |
| C Russell | S Walker |  |
| D Lane | A Brookbanks |  |

A Shirov v V Ivanchuk
Foros, Round 8, 2008
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nc3 d5 4 cxd5 Nxd5 5 Bd2 Bg7 6 e4 Nb6 7 Be3 0-0
8 Bb5 Qd6 9 Nge2 c6 10 Bd3 e5
11 dxe5 Qxe5 12 Bd4 Qe7 13 Bxg7 Kxg7
(P1)

14 Bc2 Rd8 15 Qc1 Na6 16 0-0 Be6 17 Nf4 Bc4 18 Re1 Nb4 19 a3 Nxc2 20 Qxc2 Qf6 21 Nce2 Rd7 22 b3 Ba6 23 Rad1 Rad8 24 g3 Qe5 25 f3 Kg8 26 a4 h5 27 Kf2 Rd6 28 Qc3 Nd7 29 Rxd6 Qxd6 30 Rc1 Ne5 31 h4 Qf6 32 b4 Rd3 33 Nxd3 Qxf3+ 34 Kg1 Bxd3 35 Qxe5 Qe3+ 36 Kh2 Qxe2+

|  P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 P6 P7 P8 P9 P10 <br> Total           <br> King safety           <br> Material           <br> Initiative           <br> Structure           <br> Coordination           <br> Weak squares           <br> Open files           <br> Space           <br> Please send me your tables for analysis in a           <br> forthcoming newsletter.           |
| :--- |

