## NEW ENGLANDER

## Chess Club Update - November 2009

## Chairman's Chatter

I always enjoy seeing a goodly number of players at the club and I hope you will all want to squeeze a club championship game into every available opportunity. The calendar of events appears in this issue so from now on, participation is the name of the game!

Paul tanks

## Diary Dates

League matches and club championship rounds alternate during November. We even have the crunch match between our two teams in the 550 competition!

If you have not already done so, there is time until $31^{\text {st }}$ October to enter the County Individual by contacting Marcus Misson on marqives@yahoo.com. Please remember we host the tournament on $25^{\text {th }}$ November so no travel should be involved in the early stages.

## Puzzle Problem

White to play and mate in 2.


Last Month's solution
Position: 7N/8/4k3/1NR1p3/7Q/1K6/8/8
1 Rd5 Kxd5 [1 ... Kf5 2 Nd4\#] 2 Qc4\#

## Website to Watch

Khanty-Mansiysk in Siberia hosts the 2009 World Cup from November $21^{\text {st }}$ to December $14^{\text {th }}$. (Please see http://www.ugra-chess.ru/eng/main_e.htm). The early rounds take place over three days with a final of four classical games ( $10^{\text {th }}-13^{\text {th }}$ December) - any necessary play-off will take place on the last day.

The Tal Memorial held in Moscow from $5^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ November is a more conventional tournament between the top grandmasters,. It will be followed on $16^{\text {th }}$ to $18^{\text {th }}$ by the World Blitz Championship. The official website is http://russiachess.org/eng/ but the pages in English look out of date and you may have to navigate in Russian.

## Result Round-up

Club Championship : at 14/10/09

| Division One | CR | FB | PT | MD | RJ | PH | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C Ross | $\mathbf{X}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | $2 / 2$ |
| F Bowers | 0 | X |  |  |  | 1/2 | 1/2/2 |
| P Turp |  |  | X | P | P |  | $0 / 0$ |
| M Dunkley | 0 |  | P | X |  |  | $0 / 1$ |
| R Jones |  |  | P |  | X | P | $0 / 0$ |
| P Hanks |  | 1/2 |  |  | P | X | 1/2/1 |


| Division Two | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{W} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{W} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{J} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathbf{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{K} \\ & \mathbf{T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{D} \\ & \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D Lane | X |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | P | 2/2 |
| C Russell |  | X | 1 |  |  |  | P |  |  |  | 1/1 |
| S Walker |  | 0 | X |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1/2 |
| A Brookbanks | 0 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1/2 |
| N Wedley | 0 |  |  |  | X | P |  |  |  | P | 0/1 |
| J Alster |  |  |  |  | P | X | 1 |  |  |  | 1/1 |
| H Currie |  | P |  |  |  | 0 | X |  |  |  | $0 / 1$ |
| M Tarabad |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | X | 1 |  | 1/2 |
| K Talnikar |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | X |  | $0 / 2$ |
| D Sivell | P |  |  |  | P |  |  |  |  | X | $0 / 0$ |

Cambridgeshire County Chess Leagues

| New England A | $\mathbf{4}$ | St Neots | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| C Ross | 1 | Default | 0 |
| F Bowers | $1 / 2$ | S Foster | $1 / 2$ |
| P Hanks | 1 | C Emery | 0 |
| M Dunkley | 1 | M Pope | 0 |
| R Jones | $1 / 2$ | M Friday | $1 / 2$ |
| Warboys A | $\mathbf{3}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| C Pickard | $1 / 2$ | C Ross | $1 / 2$ |
| M Misson | 0 | F Bowers | 1 |
| J Beck | $1 / 2$ | R Jones | $1 / 2$ |
| R Mann | 1 | J Alster | 0 |
| B Duff | 1 | C Russell | 0 |

## Match of the Month

We can kill two birds with one stone with this month's game. Firstly, we will see how Francis scored one of the few points that Hunts and Peterborough managed in the match against Cambridge that opened the new season. Secondly, we continue the last month's theme of putting computer analysis under the microscope.
When Francis volunteered this game, I reckoned it would make a good example to subject to "blunder checking". Furthermore, it suggested a method for testing the probity of computer evaluations that does not appear in the books.

- I conducted a blunder check with a threshold setting to highlight poor play that worsened the position by 0.2 pawns. The results are given below in the paragraphs starting "Fritz 11" followed by its position evaluation score, its recommended improvement and the revised score.
- I repeated the blunder check with a threshold of 0.0 pawns. This should reproduce the above with a few extra variations from lesser indiscretions. Obviously, the recommended alternative should be consistent because "best play" must be unique. Sadly, this was not the case and the point of departure from the original scan is given in square brackets.
- Other paragraphs contain annotations generated interactively with the computer and are hopefully obviously human.


## F Bowers v R McCorry

Peterborough v Cambridge, 23.09.2009
1 e4 e5 2 Nf3 Nc6 3 Bb5 a6 4 Ba4 Nf6 5 0-0 b5 6 Bb3 Bc5 7 Nxe5 Nxe5 8 d4 Bxd4 9 Qxd4 d6

Fritz 11: Last book move


In fact, the position has a good pedigree with Anand appearing on both sides though neither game called for overexertion on his part.

V Anand (2765) v V Topalov (2725)
Linares, 1997
10 f4 Nc6 11 Qc3 Bb7 12 e5 Ne4 13 Qe3 Na5 14 Nd2 Nxb3 15 cxb3 Nxd2 16 Bxd2 0-0 17 Bc3 Qh4 18 Rae1 Rfe8 19 Qf2 Qxf2+ 20 Rxf2 dxe5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

V Ivanchuk (2700) v V Anand (2715)
Riga, 1995
10 f4 c5 11 Qd1!? Ng6 12 Bd5 Nxd5 13 Qxd5 Rb8 14 Qh5 Bb7 15 Nc3 b4 16 f5 bxc3 17 fxg6 fxg6 18 Qh3 Qe7 19 e5 Rf8 20 Rxf8+
$1 / 2-1 / 2$
10 Bg5
This looks very much like a well-known blunder. The position differs from the standard Noah's Ark Trap by a few inconsequential moves and White even had a tempo to avoid the bishop on b3 becoming trapped by the advancing queenside pawns - Ivanchuk and Anand certainly knew!
Fritz is strangely silent at this point. It evaluates the position after Black's 9th move as being in White's favour to the tune of typically 0.4 pawns. After 10 Bg 5 , it knows Black is winning (the evaluation score is -1.0 ) but despite varying the settings and version of the software, it still slips beneath the blundercheck radar. Does anyone else find this?

| 10 | $\ldots$ | c5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Bxf6 | gxf6 |
| 12 | Bxf7+ |  |

Fritz 11: -2.42 12 Qd5 [12 Qe3] Be6 13 Qb7 c4 14 f4 Ng4 15 h3 Nh6 16 Nc3 Qc8 17 Qb6 Qc5+ 18 Qxc5 dxc5 19 f5 Bd7 20 Nd5 cxb3 21 axb3 0-0-0 22 Nxf6 0.68/18

Objectively, Fritz is correct that White is more likely to maintain an inferior game with its preferred line. In practical play, however, you have to consider the benefit of active opportunities.

| 12 | $\ldots$ | Kxf7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Qd1 | Bg4 |
| 14 | f3 | Be6 |
| 15 | f4 | Nc4 |
| 16 | f5 | Bd7 |
| 17 | Rf4 | Ke7 |

I do not understand this move. If Black can stifle White on the kingside, the game is effectively over so 17 ... Rg8 18 Qh5+ Kf8 19 Qxh7 Qe7 looks sufficient.

| 18 | Nc3 | Bc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Nd5+ | Kd7 |

Fritz gives this as best. Superficially, I expected 19 .. Bxd5 but 20 Qxd5 Nxb2 21 Rg 4 looks terrifying because $21 \ldots$ Rg8 is met by 22 Qe6+ and $21 \ldots$ Qf8 by 22 Qb7+. Perhaps, you need to be Fritz to be confident of 21 ... Kf8 22 Qb7 Rg8.

## 20 Rg4 Rb8

Fritz 11: 1.9520 ... Nxb2 21 Rg7+ Kc8 22 Qh5 Bxd5 23 exd5 Qe8 24 Qf7 [24 Qe8] Qxf7 25 Rxf7 Nc4 26 Rxf6 Kc7 27 a4 b4 28 Rf7+ Kb6 29 Re1 Rhf8 30 Ree7 Rxf7 31 Rxf7 -2.33/17
This must be the critical position. White is going to penetrate dangerously because the tactic 20 ... Rg8

21 Rxg8 Qxg8 22 Nxf6 at last justifies the path chosen at move 12. The moved played looks irrelevant when 20 ... Qf8 is available.

| 21 | Rg7+ | Kc8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Ne7+ | Kb7 |
| 23 | Nxc6+ | Kxc6 |
| 24 | Qd5+ | Kb6 |
| 25 | a4 |  |

After a series of virtually forced moves, White can open a second front and create mating threats.

| 25 | $\ldots$ | Rg8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | axb5 | axb5 |

Fritz 11: 15.0326 ... Kxb5 27 Rxa6 Kxa6 [27 ... Nb6] 28 Qxc4+ Kb6 29 Qb3+ Kc6 30 Qa4+ Rb5 31 Rxg8 Qa5 32 Qxa5 Rxa5 33 Rc8+ Kd7 34 Rf8 Ra2 35 Rxf6 Rxb2 36 c3 Ke7 37 Re6+ Kd7 38 Rh6 Rb1+ 39 Kf2 Rb2+ 1.94/20

27 Rga7 Qc8
Fritz 11: \#17 27 ... Rxg2+ 28 Kxg2 Qc8 29 Kf2 Qb7 30 Rxb7+ Rxb7 31 b3 Ne5 [31 ... Ra7] 32 Qxd6+ Nc6 33 Ra8 Rc7 34 Rb8+ Ka6 35 Qxc7 Nxb8 36 Qxb8 Ka5 37 Qd8+ Kb4 38 Qxf6 c4 39 Qd4 h5 15.35/20

$$
28 \text { Qxg8 } \quad 1-0
$$

A pretty finishing touch.
Francis was lucky here but he did capitalise on the possibilities in his position. And Fritz? I was fairly disappointed not only with its inability to find some of the turning points but also the variability in its recommended best play. Only one or two moves on from the blunder, it was suggesting different moves depending on the checking criteria. It goes to reinforce the previous conclusion that the human element is still essential.

## Eye Opener

How many moves ahead can you see? When asked, Mieses replied "One but it is always the best one!". In a first round game in the Club Championship, there was an interesting example of how your evaluation of a position can change as you increase the depth of your analysis.

## F Bowers v P Hanks

Club Championship Round 1, 30.09.2009

## 1 e4 e6 2 d4 d5 3 Nc3 Bb4 4 Qg4 Nf6 5 Qxg7 Rg8 6 Qh6 Rg6 7 Qe3 Nxe4

Black has regained the pawn and obtained a strong central knight with potential tactics due to the pin on c3. Furthermore, it is difficult to dislodge the outpost because 8 f3 fails to the standard continuation 8 ... Qh4+ 9 g3 (9 Kd1 Nf2+; $9 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 3+$ ) Nxg3 10 Qf2 (10 hxg3 Qxh1) when Black can chose at the moment whether or not to play 10 ... Nf5.

$$
8 \text { a3 }
$$

Ba5
I was happy that an attempt to win a pawn by 9 b4 Bb6 10 Nxe4 dxe4 11 Qxe4 was illusory because 11 ... Bxd4 12 Rb1 Bc3+ gives Black a good game.

## $9 \quad$ Nge2

Now get out your telescope. I had to look at 10 f3 again because White has reinforced g3. Is it significant or can it still be ignored?


Over the board, I was happy to see 10 f 3 Qh4+ 11 g 3 Nxg3 12 Nxg3 Rxg3 13 hxg3 Qxh1 and started to look elsewhere but in the post-match discussion, it became obvious that I should also have considered 13 Qf2 because Black no longer has the escape route ... Nf5 that saved me earlier.
Black looks seriously inconvenienced and then I discovered that $9 \ldots$ Nc6 permits $13 \ldots$ Qxd4. We only moved the pieces to this position and judged that Black seems to hold an advantage because we could see a) 14 Qxd4 Nxd4 15 hxg3 Nxc2+ and b) 14 Qxg3 Bxc3+ 15 bxc3 Qxc3+ 16 Kf2 Qxa1.
Sadly, even though we are six moves on from the game position, it is not the end of the story. Such a tactical melee brings out the best in computer software and Fritz lost no time in highlighting 17 Qg8+. The hard work is only just starting! Looking at the position before your $9^{\text {th }}$ move, can you judge how serious the counterattack will be eight moves later?
Had I seen this far, I should have been worried. Obviously, Black has to look for better than 17 ... Kd7 18 Qxf7+ Ne7 19 Bh3 when the attack on the king and discovered threats against the black queen are great compensation for the material sacrificed. So, 17 ... Ke7 18 Bg5+ f6 19 Qg7+ Ke8 is given as best play leading to a perpetual check on the $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ ranks. It looks too dangerous for Black to vary e.g. 19 ... Kd6 20 Qf8+ Kd7 21 Bxf6 but the exposed black king gives an easy draw and means that White can continue the torture without risk by 20 Bxf6 Qxa3 21 Rg1.
A draw would probably result from this line of play but Black has to be extremely careful. This was the actual outcome but by the much more prosaic continuation 9 ... Nc6 10 Bd2 Nxd2 11 Qxd2 Qf6 12 f4 Bd7 13 0-0-0 0-0-0 14 g3 Bb6 15 Bg2 Qe7 16 f5 ½ - 1/2

## Club Championship 2009-10

Please note that the first-named player has WHITE.

Division 1

| Round 1 | Date : 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chris Ross | Mike Dunkley |  |
| Francis Bowers | Paul Hanks |  |
| Phil Turp | Ron Jones |  |
| Round 2 | Date : $14{ }^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| Francis Bowers | Chris Ross |  |
| Mike Dunkley | Phil Turp |  |
| Paul Hanks | Ron Jones |  |
| Round 3 | Date : $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| Chris Ross | Phil Turp |  |
| Francis Bowers | Ron Jones |  |
| Mike Dunkley | Paul Hanks |  |
| Round 4 | Date : $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ December |  |
| Ron Jones | Chris Ross |  |
| Phil Turp | Paul Hanks |  |
| Francis Bowers | Mike Dunkley |  |
| Round 5 | Date : $6^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| Paul Hanks | Chris Ross |  |
| Mike Dunkley | Ron Jones |  |
| Francis Bowers | Phil Turp |  |
| Round 6 | Date : $\mathbf{2 7}^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| Chris Ross | Francis Bowers |  |
| Phil Turp | Mike Dunkley |  |
| Ron Jones | Paul Hanks |  |
| Round 7 | Date : $3^{\text {rd }}$ February |  |
| Mike Dunkley | Chris Ross |  |
| Paul Hanks | Francis Bowers |  |
| Ron Jones | Phil Turp |  |
| Round 8 | Date : 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ February |  |
| Chris Ross | Paul Hanks |  |
| Ron Jones | Mike Dunkley |  |
| Phil Turp | Francis Bowers |  |
| Round 9 | Date : 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ March |  |
| Phil Turp | Chris Ross |  |
| Ron Jones | Francis Bowers |  |
| Paul Hanks | Mike Dunkley |  |
| Round 10 | Date : $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ April |  |
| Chris Ross | Ron Jones |  |
| Paul Hanks | Phil Turp |  |
| Mike Dunkley | Francis Bowers |  |

Division 2

| Round 1 | Date : $\mathbf{3 0}^{\text {th }}$ September |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Des Lane |  |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | Kaewallya Talnikar |  |
| Norman Wedley | Duncan Sivell |  |
| Chris Russell | Steve Walker |  |
| Harry Currie | Jack Alster |  |
| Round 2 | Date : $7^{\text {th }}$ October |  |
| Kaewallya Talnikar | Alan Brookbanks |  |
| Duncan Sivell | Des Lane |  |
| Steve Walker | Mahmoud Tarabad |  |
| Jack Alster | Norman Wedley |  |
| Harry Currie | Chris Russell |  |
| Round 3 | Date: $4^{\text {th }}$ November |  |
| Alan Brookbanks | Duncan Sivell |  |
| Kaewallya Talnikar | Steve Walker |  |
| Des Lane | Jack Alster |  |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | Harry Currie |  |
| Norman Wedley | Chris Russell |  |
| Round 4 | Date : 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ November |  |
| Steve Walker | Alan Brookbanks |  |
| Jack Alster | Duncan Sivell |  |
| Harry Currie | Kaewallya Talnikar |  |
| Chris Russell | Des Lane |  |
| Norman Wedley | Mahmoud Tarabad |  |
| Round 5 | Date : $9^{\text {th }}$ December |  |
| Alan Brookbanks | Jack Alster |  |
| Steve Walker | Harry Currie |  |
| Duncan Sivell | Chris Russell |  |
| Kaewallya Talnikar | Norman Wedley |  |
| Des Lane | Mahmoud Tarabad |  |
| Round 6 | Date : $\mathbf{6}^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| Harry Currie | Alan Brookbanks |  |
| Chris Russell | Jack Alster |  |
| Norman Wedley | Steve Walker |  |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | Duncan Sivell |  |
| Des Lane | Kaewallya Talnikar |  |
| Round 7 | Date : $27^{\text {th }}$ January |  |
| Alan Brookbanks | Chris Russell |  |
| Harry Currie | Norman Wedley |  |
| Jack Alster | Mahmoud Tarabad |  |
| Steve Walker | Des Lane |  |
| Duncan Sivell | Kaewallya Talnikar |  |
| Round 8 | Date : 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ March |  |
| Norman Wedley | Alan Brookbanks |  |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | Chris Russell |  |
| Des Lane | Harry Currie |  |
| Kaewallya Talnikar | Jack Alster |  |
| Duncan Sivell | Steve Walker |  |
| Round 9 | Date : 21 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ April |  |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | Alan Brookbanks |  |
| Norman Wedley | Des Lane |  |
| Chris Russell | Kaewallya Talnikar |  |
| Harry Currie | Duncan Sivell |  |
| Jack Alster | Steve Walker |  |

New England Chess Club Fixtures 2009-10

Club Championship
League Match
Internal Event

| Day Date | All Club | A Team | B Team | 550 team 1 | 550 team 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wed 2 Sep | Club AGM | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 9 Sep | Mini-lightning | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 16 Sep | County ECM | - |  |  |  |
| Wed 23 Sep | Pboro v Cambs at Warboys | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 30 Sep | Club Champ | Div 1 Rd 1 | Div 2 Rd 1 | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 7 Oct |  | HvSt Neots | Div 2 Rd 2 |  |  |
| Wed 14 Oct |  | Div 1 Rd 2 | A v Warboys B |  |  |
| Tues 20 Oct |  |  |  | A v Octavia Hill |  |
| Wed 21 Oct |  | A v Warboys A |  |  | $\mathrm{H} v$ Warboys N |
| Wed 28 Oct |  | Div 1 Rd 3 | H v Spalding |  |  |
| Wed 4 Nov |  | H v Pboro | Div 2 Rd 3 |  |  |
| Wed 11 Nov |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow \vec{~}$ | H v New Eng 2 | A v New Eng 1 |
| Wed 18 Nov |  | H v Royston | Div 2 Rd 4 |  |  |
| Wed 25 Nov | County Individual | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 2 Dec |  | Div 1 Rd 4 | A v Buckden B |  |  |
| Thur 3 Dec | Fenland KO | A v Pboro |  |  |  |
| Tues 8 Dec | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { A }}$ |  |  | A v Octavia Hill |
| Wed 9 Dec |  | A v Cambridge |  | $\mathrm{H} v$ Warboys N |  |
| Wed 16 Dec | Xmas special | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 23 Dec | Christmas | No meeting | No meeting | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 30 Dec | New Year | No meeting | No meeting | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 6 Jan | Club Champ | Div 1 Rd 5 | Div 2 Rd 5 | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| w/c 11 Jan | County Individual |  |  |  |  |
| Wed 13 Jan | Handicap | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Mon 18 Jan | $\bigcirc$ | A v St Neots |  |  |  |
| Wed 20 Jan |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | A v New Eng 2 | H v New Eng 1 |
| Sun 24 Jan | County Junior | , |  |  |  |
| Mon 25 Jan |  | , | A v Buckden A |  |  |
| Wed 27 Jan | Club Champ | Div 1 Rd 6 | Div 2 Rd 6 | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 3 Feb |  | Div 1 Rd 7 | $\mathrm{H} v$ Cambs B |  |  |
| Wed 10 Feb |  | H v Warboys A | Div 2 Rd 7 |  |  |
| Wed 17 Feb |  | Div 1 Rd 8 | H v Warboys B |  |  |
| Wed 24 Feb |  |  |  | H v Octavia Hill |  |
| Thur 25 Feb |  | A v Pboro |  |  |  |
| w/c 1 Mar | County Individual |  |  |  |  |
| Wed 3 Mar |  |  |  |  | A $\vee$ Warboys N |
| Tues 9 Mar |  |  | A $v$ Spalding |  |  |
| Wed 10 Mar | Club Champ | Div 1 Rd 9 | Div 2 Rd 8 | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 17 Mar | Quickplay | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Sun 21 Mar | Cambs Rapidplay | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Wed 24 Mar |  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | A $\vee$ Warboys N | H v Octavia Hill |
| Wed 31 Mar |  | Reserve | H v Buckden B |  |  |
| Wed 7 Apr |  | Reserve | H v Buckden A |  |  |
| Wed 14 Apr |  | HvCambs A | Reserve |  |  |
| Wed 21 Apr | Club Champ | Div 1 Rd 10 | Div 2 Rd 9 | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Mon 26 Apr |  | A v Royston |  |  |  |
| Wed 28 Apr |  | Reserve | A v Cambs B |  |  |
| Wed 5 May | Jamboree | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { A }}$ |  |  |
| Wed 12 May | Problem night | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |

