## NEW ENCLANDER

## Chess Club Update - June 2011

## Chairman's Chatter

The big news is that the club has won its first title in Cambridgeshire League! Entering the final match, the team only had to avoid a 5-0 whitewash to clinch victory but equally, the opposition Royston had the incentive to fight to the death even in drawn positions. A tense finish to the season but thankfully, we were successful. Well done to Francis and the team.
As the club championship draws to a close, we have already congratulated Chris Ross on another convincing win. To this, we must add Ron Jones who has dominated the second division but in the third, it has been much closer with Sailesh Sitaram justifying his promise by a half point.

Paul tanks

## Diary Dates

From 1st June, the normal masterclass tuition will be available at the start of the club evenings.
On the administrative front, the Cambridgeshire County Chess Association holds its AGM at the Godmanchester Comrades' Club on 16th June. Details of a County Individual Blitz tournament due at the end of the month have yet to be announced.

## Puzzle Problem

White to play and mate in 2.


Last Month's solution
Position: 6bn/7n/1Q6/7k/6R1/6K1/8/8
1 Qb1 Ng5 2 Rh4\# [1 ... Bd5 2 Qxh7\#; 1 ... Ng6 2 Qxg6\#; 1 ... other 2 Qh1\#]

## Website to Watch

The Bazna Kings tournament runs from 11th to 22nd June in Romania and had managed to attract sufficient sponsorship to afford Magnus Carlsen. The games can be followed on www.turneulregilor.com.

## Result Round-up

Club Championship : at 25/05/11

| Division One | CR <br> $\mathbf{X}$ |  | FB |  | PH |  |  | PT |  |  | SC |  | Total 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C Ross |  |  | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1/2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| F Bowers | $011 /$ | $1 / 2$ | X | X |  | 1 | 0 |  | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | 1 | 1/2 | 4 |
| P Hanks | $1 / 20$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | X |  |  | $1 / 20$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| P Turp | 00 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | /2d |  | X | X |  | $1 / 2$ | 3 |
| S Caraway | 0 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | $1 / 2$ | 0 |  | X | 2 |
| Division Two | RJ |  | DL |  | sw |  |  | AB |  | CR |  | NW | Total |
| R Jones | X |  | 1 |  | $111 / 21 / 2$ |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 1 | 8/9 |
| D Lane | 0 |  | X |  |  |  | 1d |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | $6 / 9$ |
| S Walker | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | X |  |  | 01 | 1 d | 2 |  | $1 / 2$ | 5 |
| A Brookbanks |  | $1 / 2$ | 1 |  | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} 1$ |  |  | X |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ | 5 |
| C Russell |  | 0 | 0 d | d | Od |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| N Wedley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $011 / 2$ |  |  | $011 / 2$ |  | 0 |  | X | 2 |
| Division Three | Ss |  | PS |  |  | MT |  |  | HC |  | DS |  | Total |
| S Sitaram | X |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 5 |
| P Spencer | 1 | 0 | X |  |  |  | 1/2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | $41 / 2$ |
| M Tarabad | 0 | 0 | 1/2 |  | 0 | X |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | $11 / 2$ |
| H Currie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 011 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| D Sivell |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | $0 / 1$ |

Fenland Plate : Final 27th April 2011

| New England 2 | $1 / 2$ | Warboys | $31 / 2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| P Turp | $1 / 2$ | M Misson | $1 / 2$ |
| R Jones | 0 | B Duff | 1 |
| D Lane | 0 | R Mann | 1 |
| M Tarabad | 0 | C Watkins | 1 |

Cambridgeshire County Chess Leagues

| Royston | $\mathbf{4}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| P Kemp | $1 / 2$ | C Ross | $1 / 2$ |
| N Hutchinson | 1 | F Bowers | 0 |
| K Clark | 1 | P Turp | 0 |
| P Rice | 1 | I Ramdewar | 0 |
| M Pinner | $1 / 2$ | R Jones | $1 / 2$ |


| Division One |  | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{L}$ | Pts | Games |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | New England A | 10 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 16 | $33^{1} / 2$ |
| 2 | Royston | 10 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 16 | $311 / 2$ |
| 3 | Warboys A | 10 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 25 |
| 4 | Cambridge | 10 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | $261 / 2$ |
| 5 | Peterborough | 10 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 6 | $251 / 2$ |
| 6 | St Neots | 10 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
|  | Division Two | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{L}$ | Pts | Games |
| 1 | Buckden A | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 21 |
| 2 | Warboys B | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 16 |
| 3 | New England B | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 | $151 / 2$ |
| 4 | Spalding | 8 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | $141 / 2$ |
| 5 | Buckden B | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 13 |

Team "550" Competition

|  | South Division | North Division |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Cambridge Rowers | New England 1 |
| 2 | Royston | New England 2 |
| 3 | Warboys S | Warboys N |
| 4 | Cambridge Punters | Godmanchester |
| 5 | St Neots | - |

County Jamboree : 12th May 2011

| Pos | Team | Pts |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Linton | $91 / 2$ |
| 2 | New England A | 9 |
| 3 | Warboys A | 8 |
| 4 | St Neots | 6 |
| 5 | Cambridge | $51 / 2$ |
| $6=$ | Royston | $41 / 2$ |
|  | New England B | $41 / 2$ |
| 8 | Godmanchester Comrades | 4 |
| 9 | Warboys B | 3 |

Club Handicap : 4th May 2011

| Player |  | Rd 1 |  | Rd 2 |  | Rd 3 |  | Rd 4 |  | Rd 5 |  | ¢ | $\infty$00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 응 | 凹 | 응 | ゅ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathscr{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\Phi} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1 | F Bowers | 14 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| 2 | P Turp | 9 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| 3 | I Ramdewar | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 1/2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 31/2 |  |
| 4 | S Walker | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | $131 / 2$ |
| 5 | S Sitaram | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 13 |
| 6 | C Ross | 11 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 1/2 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 21/2 | 11 |
| 7 | A Brookbanks | 8 | 1/2 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 21/2 | 11 |
| 8 | $R$ Jones | 7 | 1/2 | 14 | 1/2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 21/2 | 101/2 |
| 9 | D Lane | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 13 | $1 / 2$ | 14 | 1 | 21/2 | 10 |
| 10 | M Tarabad | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 1/2 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 21/2 | 81/2 |
| 11 | N Wedley | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |  |
| 12 | H Currie | 4 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 13 | C Russell | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 1/2 | 9 | 1/2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 111/2 |
| 14 | S Wozniak | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1/2 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | $1 / 2$ |  |

Rapidplay : 25th May 2011

| Player |  | Rd 1 |  | Rd 2 |  | Rd 3 |  | Rd 4 |  | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 응 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \mathscr{y} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \mathscr{y} \end{aligned}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathscr{q} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \widetilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1 | C Ross | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 2 | S Sitaram | 10 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | F Bowers | 8 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| 4 | D Lane | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1/2 | 6 | 1 | 21/2 |
| 5 | S Wozniak | 6 | 1/2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 21/2 |
| 6 | H Currie | 5 | 1/2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11/2 |
| 7 | S Walker | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1/2 | 3 | 0 | 11/2 |
| 8 | M Tarabad | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 |  |
| 9 | R Jones | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | N Wedley | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

## Match of the Month

Des is totally invincible - we all know because he has told us so often! Whilst not entirely the case, his reminiscences against grandmaster opposition go to show that the exception proves the rule...

If you can believe Wikipaedia, Jim Plaskett is the brother of the inventor of cricket's snickometer but he deserves an entry in his own right for his impressive chess exploits. He became a grandmaster in 1985, won the British Championship at Eastbourne in 1990 and reached his peak ELO rating of 2529 in 2000 . So why was he playing for Bourne in the early nineties?
He was a friend of club stalwart Ray Ferrer who persuaded him to appear for the club in the Hunts and Peterborough league. His class quickly became evident when he blew away the rabbit on top board for Perkins with the black pieces in a mere 20 moves [though the subsequent darts game was much closer - editor].

Unfortunately, having a strong player to smash the opposition from other clubs had a drawback when it came to the internal club game.

## J Plaskett v G D Lane <br> Bourne A v Bourne B, 06.04.1992

## 1 d4 e6 2 Nf3 d5 3 c4 Nf6 4 Nc3 Be7 5 Bf4 0-0 6 e3

 c5 7 dxc5I wasn't expecting this move but if he hadn't taken, I would probably have played cxd4 soon and I was thinking of dxc4 for myself.

## 7 ... Bxc5 8 Qc2 Bb4

This game was played in 1992 and has followed a fairly classical main line of the Queen's Gambit Declined until I tried an offbeat novelty which moved the same piece several times in the opening. Among the games that followed in our footsteps (and presumably the players must have studied my moves) have been Topalov v Kramnik, Wijk aan Zee 2007 and Carlsen v Ivanchuk, Bilbao 2008. There, Black preferred to continue with $8 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 6$ and as Nigel Short writes in New in Chess magazine "it has the merit of developing a piece which counts for something even in the 21st century".

Maybe I should have played $8 \ldots$ Qa5 to deter 9 a3 and 10 b4 with $10 \ldots$ Bxb4 and $11 \ldots$ Qxa1+.

## 9 a3 Bxc3+ 10 Qxc3 Ne4 11 Qc2 f5

I was worried about trouble on the light squares after White's Bf1-d3. My poor bishop on c8 hasn't moved and cannot mount a challenge but I probably had time for the superior Nb8-d7-f6.

## 12 cxd5 Qa5+

Immediate recapture was better.
13 b4 Qxd5 14 Bc4 Qd8 15 Rd1 Qe7
By now, my neglect of developing the queenside pieces is too serious. Computers would like White to continue 16 h4 which was also popular with Kasparov in similar lines.
16 Nd4 Kh8 17 f3 e5 18 Bxe5 Qxe5 19 fxe4 fxe4 20 Rf1 Rxf1+
Fritz thinks White has given away much of his advantage if Black had found 20 ... Nd7.

## 21 Kxf1 Bg4

This fails because White can exploit the back rank mate threat. 21 ... Qxh2 was tempting to start checking the white king but may suffer as in the game. From now on, I felt like Canute facing massive waves of attack.

## 22 Nf3 Qc7 23 Ng5 Bxd1 24 Qxe4 g6 1-0

I was aware that I needed to get my queenside pieces into play but never seemed to get the opportunity. I was determined to put in a better showing when colours were reversed.

## G D Lane v J Plaskett

1 c 4 Nf 62 Nc 3 e 53 g 3 Bb 44 Bg 2 0 05 Nf 3 Re 86 0-0 e4 7 Ne1 Bxc3 8 dxc3 h6 9 Nc2 Nc6 10 Ne3
OK, you've noticed! This time, I have moved the knight four times in 10 moves but the centre will remain closed while my control of d5 prevents Black advancing his centre pawn by two squares.

## 10 ... d6 11 b3 Re5 12 f3

Black has designs to test the strength of the fianchetto formation with kingside piece activity particularly as the defensive knight has been displaced. Fortunately, White can target the pawn on e4 to gain counterplay.

## 12 ... Bd7 13 Qc2 exf3 14 exf3 Qe7 15 Qd3 Re8

Chess games can pivot on simple things and here, my GM opponent has latched on to a threat down the open e file to complete his development first.
$16 \operatorname{Re} 1 \mathrm{~d} 5$
Steinitz's principle is that with better development, you must start an attack. The pin against the rook on e1 (that I made for myself!) weakens my hold on d5 and Jim accepts the invitation to advance.

## 17 Bd2 Qc5

Black is building an impressive position with great pressure on e3 but it is largely cosmetic. With 18 f4, my sights can be trained on d5 again with gain of tempo and a series of exchanges starting $18 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ 19 Qxc4 Qxc4 would equalise the position.

## 18 b4 dxc4 19 Qxd7

19 bxc5 cxd3 leaves Black with an extra pawn, better coordination and weaknesses to target on the queenside.


19 ... Qxe3+
Dare I criticise a grandmaster? Black's reaction looks automatic to avoid losing a piece (e.g. 19 ... Nxd7 20 bxc5) but could Jim have played 19 ... Qb6? My queen is rather short of squares and would be lost after 19 ... Qb6 20 Qh3 Rh5.

## 20 Rxe3 Nxd7 21 Rae1 Rxe3 22 Rxe3 Rd8 23 Re1 Nb6 24 Re2 Rd3

The bishop pair partly compensates for the material deficit though none of the minor pieces is very active. Do we have a "bad knight v bad bishop" ending?
25 f4 f5 26 Bf1 Kf8 27 Be1 a5 28 Rc2 Rd1 29 Kf2 axb4 30 cxb4 Nd4
Black is gaining pace quicker than White so great care is needed to have hope of survival. Maybe 31 Rd2 to expel the invading pieces would have been better. There is are tactics to calculate 31 Rd 2 Rxe 132 Kxe 1 Nf3+ 33 Kd1 Nxd2 34 Kxd2 Nd5 35 b5 c3+ 36 Kd3 c2 37 Kd2 (37 Kxc2?? Ne3+) 37 ... Ne3 38 Bd3 g6 39 Bxc2 Nf1+ but the position has greatly clarified.

## 31 Rc3 Nb5 32 Rc2 Rb1

My queenside pawns are unhealthy and 32 ... Ra1 was an alternative to consider.

## 33 Bxc4

No, no, no... The advanced pawn will cause trouble but this is not the way to eliminate it. I was moving too quickly and played the worst possible move. Perhaps 33 a4 Nxa4 34 Rxc4.

## 33 ... Na3 34 Bb3 Nxc2 0-1

Jim Plaskett is not a grandmaster for nothing and considering the grading gap between us, I felt I had played quite well.

[^0]
## Cambridgeshire County Chess Leagues

| A Team | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | qəــ |  |  |  | Cambridge A $1^{\text {st }}$ Dec |  | $\text { Peterborough } 9^{\text {th }} \text { Feb }$ | $\text { Warboys A } 23^{\text {rd }} \text { Feb }$ |  | ıd $\forall$ « $\varepsilon \downarrow \forall$ әбр!ıqueว |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chris Ross | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1d | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 91/2 | 12 |
| Francis Bowers | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1/2 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1d | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 71/2 | 11 |
| Ron Jones |  |  | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1/2 |  |  | 1/2 | $11 / 2$ | 3 |
| I Ramdewar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Phil Turp |  |  |  | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1d | $1 / 2$ |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | $41 / 2$ | 8 |
| S Caraway | 0 | 1/2 |  | 1/2 | 0 | 1 | 1d | $1 / 2$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | $31 / 2$ | 9 |
| Paul Hanks | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1d | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 11 |
| Des Lane |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 41/2 | 4 | 11/2 | $31 / 2$ | 5d | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| B Team |  |  |  |  | $\text { Warboys B } 24^{\text {th }} \text { Nov }$ |  |  |  | $\text { Warboys } \mathbf{B} 6^{\mathrm{th}} \text { Apr }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ron Jones | 0 | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1/2 | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1 |  |  | 51/2 | 10 |
| Ishan Ramdewar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Mahmoud Tarabad | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 8 |
| Alan Brookbanks |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 |  | 1d |  |  | $31 / 2$ | 7 |
| Sailesh Sitaram |  |  |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  | 1d |  |  | $11 / 2$ | 2 |
| Des Lane | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 9 |
| Phil Turp | 0 | 1/2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11 / 2$ | 3 |
| Total | 2 | 1/2 | 11/2 | 3 | 2112 | $11 / 2$ | 1/2 | 11/2 | 2 | 3 |  |  | $151 / 2+21 / 2$ |  |


[^0]:    Des Lane

