## New Englander

## Chess Club Update - October 2019

## Chairman's Chatter

The season is up and running with results pouring in. Please do not let postponements start to mount; it is only going to get busier!

Paul Hanks

## Diary Dates

23-24 Nov Cambridgeshire Championships at Pboro Bridge Club, Lincoln Rd. For the entry form, please see http://www.cambschess.co.uk/county champ2019.pdf

## Puzzle Problem

White to play and mate in 2


Last Month's solution (Myllyniemi 1952)
Position: 8/4p3/4Kp2/2p1P3/2p1kBp1/2P1P1P1/5RP1/4N3
1 Bh6 fxe5 2 Rf3 gxf3 3 gxf3\# [1 ... f5 2 Re2 f4 3 exf4\#]

## Website to Watch

Two strong tournaments run concurrently in October so I hope there are sufficient grandmasters to go round. From $10^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$, the European Club Cup is in Montenegro (see http://sahcg.me/euclubcup/) whilst nearer to home, the FIDE Chess.com Grand Swiss takes place on the Isle of Man from $10^{\text {th }}-21^{1{ }^{\text {st }}}$. The latter is the place to go if you want to mingle with your chess heroes. There may be no live games on the official website https://iominternationalchess.com but they should be available on the sponsor's website.

## Result Round-up

Cambridgeshire County Chess Leagues

| St Neots A | $\mathbf{2}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| P Brown | 0 | J Dilley | 1 |
| A Chapman | $1 / 2$ | R llett | $1 / 2$ |
| R Gompelman | 1 | P Spencer | 0 |
| P Barkas | $1 / 2$ | C Russell | $1 / 2$ |
| Default | 0 | M Tarabad | 1 |


| Peterborough B | $1 / 2$ | New England B | $\mathbf{3 1 ⁄ 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| D Browning | 0 | R llett | 1 |
| A Richards | $1 / 2$ | P Spencer | $1 / 2$ |
| L Howland | 0 | R Jones | 1 |
| G Waterman | 0 | P Weinberger | 1 |

## Team 550 Competition

| NE Patriots | $\mathbf{1}$ | NE Cavaliers | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| P Spencer | 1 | E Knox | 0 |
| C Russell | 0 | J Sadler | 1 |
| P Weinberger | 0 | R Jones | 1 |
| M Ingram | 0 | M Tarabad | 1 |

New England Club Ladder

| White |  | Black |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M Tarabad | 0 | 1 | J Sadler |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흐́ } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Change |  | Player | Record @ 25/09/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ì } \\ & \substack{0 \\ 0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | P Spencer | 1 |
| 2 | - | - | P Weinberger | 0 |
| 3 | +1 | +1 | J Sadler | 1/2,1 |
| 4 | -1 | -1 | M Tarabad | 1/2, 0 |

Chairman's Challenge

| White |  | Black |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A Bhattacharyya | P | P | E Smith |
| A Bhattacharyya | 0 | 1 | S Wozniak |
| J Sutherland | 16th | Oct | A Bhattacharyya |


| Player | $\boldsymbol{A B}$ | ES | $\boldsymbol{S W}$ | $\boldsymbol{M T}$ | $\boldsymbol{N W}$ | ANO | JS | Tot |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Bhattacharyya | X | P | 0 | $6 / 11$ | $11 / 12$ | $12 / 2$ | $16 / 10$ | 0 |
| E Smith | P | X | $27 / 11$ | $11 / 3$ | $8 / 1$ | $8 / 1$ | $11 / 3$ |  |
| S Wozniak | 1 | $27 / 11$ | X | $11 / 3$ | $8 / 1$ | $8 / 1$ | $11 / 3$ | 1 |
| M Tarabad | $6 / 11$ | $11 / 3$ | $11 / 3$ | X | $27 / 11$ | $27 / 11$ | $8 / 1$ |  |
| N Wedley | $11 / 12$ | $8 / 1$ | $8 / 1$ | $27 / 11$ | X | $11 / 3$ | $27 / 11$ |  |
| Replacement? | $12 / 2$ | $8 / 1$ | $8 / 1$ | $27 / 11$ | $11 / 3$ | X | $27 / 11$ |  |
| J Sutherland | $16 / 10$ | $11 / 3$ | $11 / 3$ | $8 / 1$ | $27 / 11$ | $27 / 11$ | X |  |

Club Championship

| Round 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| E Knox | P | P | M Tarabad |
| J Sutherland | P | P | R llett |


|  | Round 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| P Spencer (1) | 0 | 1 | E Knox (P) |
| E Smith (P) | 0 | 1 | S Walker (1⁄2) |
| M Tarabad (P) | 0 | 1 | P Hanks (P) |
| J Sutherland (P) | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | T Ingram (0) |
| N Wedley (0) | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | P Weinberger (0) |
| D Lane (0) | $P$ | $P$ | P Hanks (1) |
| R Ilett (P) | $P$ | $P$ | R Jones (1) |
| C Russell (1/2) | $P$ | $P$ | P Turp (1) |


| Round 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E Knox (1+P) |  |  | R Ilett (2P) |
| S Wozniak (1+P) |  |  | P Turp (1+P) |
| P Hanks (1+P) |  |  | S Walker (11⁄2) |
| $R$ Jones (1+P) |  |  | P Spencer (1) |
| T Ingram (1/2) |  |  | N Wedley (1⁄2) |
| P Weinberger ( $1 / 2$ ) |  |  | C Russell ( $112+\mathrm{P}$ ) |
| $J$ Sutherland (1/2+P) | P | P | M Tarabad (0+P) |
| E Smith (0+P) |  |  | D Lane (0+P) |
|  |  |  |  |

Club Rapidplay: $25^{\text {th }}$ September 2019

| Player |  | Round |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |
| 1 | P Hanks | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 2 | P Spencer | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $3=$ | E Knox | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | C Russell | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | M Tarabad | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | E Smith | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

New England Grand Prix

| Player | $\underset{\frac{Q}{\mathbb{U}}}{\stackrel{Q}{U}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbb{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{Q}{S}$ | $$ | ञ | $\stackrel{*}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P Spencer | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ |  | 1 | $31 / 2$ | 132 |
| R Jones | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 150 |
| J Sadler |  | $11 / 2$ |  |  | 1 | $21 / 2$ | 146 |
| R llett |  | $11 / 2$ |  |  |  | $11 / 2$ | 181 |
| P Weinberger | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | $11 / 2$ | 87 |
| M Tarabad | 0 | 1/2 |  |  | 1 | $11 / 2$ | 114 |
| S Walker | $11 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | $11 / 2$ | 117 |
| S Wozniak | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 155 |
| P Turp | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 149 |
| P Hanks | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 166 |
| E Knox | 1 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 142 |
| C Russell | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |  |  | 0 | 1 | 108 |
| J Dilley |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 217 |
| T Ingram | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ | 78 |
| J Sutherland | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | $1 / 2$ | 79 |
| N Wedley | $1 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | 1/2 | 86 |
| E Smith | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 46 |
| M Ingram |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| D Lane | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 80 |
| A Bhattacharyya | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 30 |

## Match of the Month

Last month, the Match of the Month was inspired by Karpov's book Learn from your Defeats. I was determined to rebound from a sad loss against Paul Kemp and as the title says, make positive use of the experience. Here is the rejoinder.
The game is taken from an important match in which the teams were nominally equal in terms of overall grade and therefore, any board on which you have a slight advantage (as here) is a must-win situation. No pressure, then!

## P Hanks v S Pride

NE Patriots v Cambridge
Fenland 550 semi-final; 27.02.2019

| 1 | d4 | e6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | c4 | Bb4+ |
| 3 | Bd2 | a5 |
| 4 | Nf3 | Nf6 |
| 5 | a3 |  |

The move order may seem strange but it is a legitimate way of reaching a Bogoljubov Indian Defence, a favourite of our erstwhile top board Chris Ross. It is my choice here that is awry because grandmasters tend to prefer 5 g 3 or 5 Nc 3 .

| 5 | $\ldots$ | Bxd2+ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Nbxd2 | $0-0$ |
| 7 | e4 |  |

Very ambitious but slow continuations e.g. 7 e3 were not an option in this match. After initial successes against this opponent (see New Englander, November 2007), I had only managed a run of draws in recent times. Something had to change!
7
8 Bd3
d6
$9 \quad 0-0$ Re8
e5

I knew from friendly games against Chris Ross that without the possibility of a bad bishop, Black's best plan was just this solid pawn structure on the dark squares. I had found it a very difficult nut to crack.

| 10 | Re1 | Bg4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11 | Qc2 | Nbd7 |
| 12 | dxe5 | Nxe5 |
| 13 | Nxe5 | dxe5 |

My opening play has been unspectacular to say the least. Black has freer play with his pieces (look at my cramped bishop!) and I felt the need to make something of the open $d$ file. Let me first shift that bishop covering d1...

## 14 f3

Suddenly, I had to sweat. My captain will kill me! Black has $14 \ldots$ Qd4+ and is practically winning after

- 15 Kf1 Red8 16 Be2 Qxd2 17 Qxd2 Rxd2 18 fxg4 Rxb2
- 15 Kh1 Rad8 16 fxg4 Nxg4 17 Nf3 Qxd3 (17 ... Nf2+ 18 Kg1 Nh3+ 19 Kf1 (19 Kh1 Qxd3
20 Qxd3 Rxd3) 19 ... Qxd3+ 20 Qxd3 Rxd3))
It is Black exploiting the $d$ file! In retrospect, a better plan would have been to start with 14 Nf 1 and 15 Ne 3.

$$
14
$$

Bd7
Phew! Now for that d file...

## 15 Rac1

In my defence, the rook on e1 seems not to have any prospects on the e file. I was aiming to move it to d1.

15 ...
c5
The game is taking on a closed character in which outposts are likely to be more effective than open files. This was an immediate worry - he could exchange his bishop for my knight on d5 at leisure but thereafter, if his steed reached $d 4$, it would be invulnerable. Thankfully, my route is rather easier.

| 16 | Nf1 | Kh8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | Ne3 | Ng 8 |
| 18 | Qf2 | Ne7 |
| 19 | Nd5 | b6 |

I am being fortunate. Black's knight manoeuvre has denuded his isolated king and 19 ... Nxd5 20 exd5 would have gifted a passed pawn and freed my e4 square as a jumping-off point for an attack, ironically up to e file.

| 20 | Bc2 | Rb8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | f4 | Nc6 |

I was beginning to feel I was posing some problems.
There is a whiff of an attack and maybe some tactics based on the masked pin Rc1-d1 and Bc2-a4. It is still all too easy to go wrong. Black could try 21 ... exf4 22 Qxf4 Ng 6 and reasonably expect me to fall for 23 Qxf7?? Rf8 rather than 23 Qg3 Ne5 with equality even though the black knight only occupies its square of second choice.

22 f5
f6
This is perhaps the critical position. Can Black venture 22 ... Nd4 and allow 23 f6? The computer tells me that Black has nothing to fear but that is no comfort for the player at the board.

- Declining the offer with $23 \ldots$ Bc6 looks scary but it is unclear which side has the attack after $24 \mathrm{fxg} 7+\mathrm{Kxg} 7$ (24 ... Kg8 $25 \mathrm{Nf} 6+$ ) 25 Re 3 Kh8 26 Rh3 Bd7 27 Rh5 (27 Rh4 Nf3+ 28 gxf3 Qg5+ and 29 ... Qxc1) 27 ... Bg4 28 Rh4 Bf3 29 Kh1 (29 gxf3 Qg5+ etc) 29 ... Qg5.
- 23 ... gxf6 24 Re3 (24 Nxf6 Re6 25 Nd5 achieves nothing) 24 ... Rg8 (amongst others e.g. 24 ... f5 25 exf5 f6 26 Rh3 or 24 ... Re6 25 Rh3 Rd6 26 Rh6) 25 Nxf6 Rg6 26 Nd5 Qg5 and again Black is very active.

| 23 | Re3 | Ne7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | Rh3 | Nxd5 |
| 25 | cxd5 | Rf8 |
| 26 | Bb3 | Be8 |

If $26 \ldots$ a4, before retreating the bishop, White can explore some other avenues of attack instead of the crude Qf2-h4. 27 Qg 3 axb3 (27 ... Qe8 28 Ba 2 is the correct way for Black) 28 Qg6 h6 (28 ... Kg8 29 Rxh7 Qe7 30 Qh5) 29 Rxh6+ gxh6 30 Qxh6+ Kg8 31 Qg6+ Kh8 32 Rc3 mating.

| 27 | Qh4 | h6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | Rcc3 | Qd6 |
| 29 | Rcg3 | h5 |

Aha! I had not thought of this simple defence to 30 Qxh6+! I had been thinking more along the lines of Black defending his second rank with

- 29 ... Rf7 30 Rxg7 Rxg7 31 Qxh6+ Kg8 when White has to bring up his reserves with 32 Bd1. Now, Fritz adds the details 32 ... Kf7 33 Bh5+ Kg8 34 Bg6 Bxg6 (34 ... Kf8 35 Bxe8) 35 Qh8+ Kf7 36 fxg6+ Ke7 (36 ... Rxg6 37 Rh7+ Rg7 38 Rxg7\#; 36 ... Kxg6 37 Qh5\#) 37 Qxg7+ Ke8 38 Rh8+
- 29 ... Rb7 30 Rxg7 Rxg7 31 Qxh6+ Kg8 32 Bd 1 is similar
- 29 ... Qd7 and I could not see how to proceed. In fact, there is time for a regrouping 30 Rg4 Rb7 31 Qe1 Qd6 32 Qe3 but it is still not decisive. Furthermore, there might be complications 31 ... c4 32 Bxc4 Rc7 33 Qe3
- 33 ... Rg8 34 Rgh4
- 33 ... Rf7 34 d6
- 33 ... Rxc4 34 Rxh6+ gxh6 (34 ... Kg8 35 Qh3 Rc1+ 36 Kf2 Rc2+ 37 Kf3) 35 Qxh6+
- $33 \ldots$ Qd6 and the struggle continues.

Stephen's text move highlights White's trouble concentrating his forces on the kingside. The awkward position of the white queen makes it difficult even to sacrifice an exchange. My strategy was in tatters.
Then, I had the proverbial light bulb moment. A switch in my head brought back the memory of the game against Paul Kemp featured last month. Did he not threaten to mate me with a pawn on the sixth rank?


30 ... Bxg6 31 fxg6 Kg8 32 Qxh5 with checkmate on h8. I could smile again.

| 31 | Qxh5+ | Kg8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Rxg7+ | $1-0$ |

The irony is that when I played Stephen again later in the season, I lost...

Paul Hanks

## Eye Opener

The gradual and solid build-up of pawns on the dark squares in the Bogoljubov Indian may suit players with a penchant for positional play. That, however, does not mean the opening is devoid of tactics. When you see a game with Bill Wall on the winning side, it usually indicates there are some simple resources that can be overwhelming with a little assistance from his opponent. Here is an example when White is rather too helpful.
1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 e6 3 Nf3 Bb4+ 4 Nfd2 [Why? The natural 4 Bd 2 and 4 Nc 3 are playable.] 4 ... Ne4 5 a3 [5 e3] 5 ... Qf6 6 f3 [6 Qc2 limits the problem to the loss of a pawn.] $6 \ldots$ Qh4+ [6 ... Bxd2+ was played in Petit v Wall but allows 7 Bxd2 Qh4+ 8 g 3 Nxg 39 Bg 5 Qxg5 10 Rg 1$] 7 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{Nxg} 3 \mathbf{0 - 1}$. The knight is taboo due to the threat of Fool's Mate and $8 \mathrm{Qb} 3 \mathrm{Nxh} 1+$ is a hopeless material situation.
Below is a more serious case. I was taken by the development of the white queen on $f 4$ which combines
threats to f 7 and problems along the long lightsquared diagonal - a theme I have not seen before.

## A Becker v I Fuss <br> Vienna 1933

1 d4 e6 2 Nf3 Nf6 3 c4 Bb4+ 4 Bd2 Bxd2+ 5 Qxd2 b6 6 g3 Bb7 7 Bg2 d6 8 Nc 3 Ne 49 Qf4


This is the move White wanted to see. It is time to admit the mistake and concede tempi with 9 ... Nf6 because either

- $9 \ldots$ d5 10 Nxe4 dxe4 11 Ng 5 or
- 9 ... Qf6 10 Nd2 Qxf4 11 gxf4 f5 12 Ndxe4 fxe4 13 Nxe4
lose a pawn on e4.

| 10 | Ng 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Bxb7}$ |

11 Nxe6 is an alternative sideline e.g. 11 ... Qc8 12 Bh3 Nd7 13 f3 when

- Black needs to return the piece with $13 \ldots$ Nxe2 with a dreadful position after 14 Kxe 2 Kf7 15 Ng5+ Kf8 16 Rhe1
- rather than 13 ... Na 4 when White can capture at leisure with 14 b 3 Nc 315 Kd 2 or continue the attack $14 \mathrm{Nxg7+}$ Kf7 15 Nh 5 etc.
11
...
fxg5
12 Qe3
12 Qf3 may be simpler and safer $12 \ldots$ Rf8 13 Qg2 Na4 14 b3.

| 12 | axe6+ | $\mathrm{Nd7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Qxe6 |  |

13 bxc 3 Rb 8 is not as strong.

| 13 | $\ldots$ | Kf8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | Bxa8 | Qxa8 |
| 15 | f3 | $1-0$ |

With both knights en prise, Black will be at least an exchange down.

