## New Englander

## Chess Club Update - May 2024

## Chairman's Chatter

The league season is reaching a strange and somewhat unsatisfactory climax. In the Team 7000 competition, the 4-0 scoreline when St Ives beat Spalding by default allowed them to leapfrog into the title position; St Neots were only able to field two boards in Division 1 and the A team were able to top that league without having to prove it over the board and Peterborough failed to appear in the Team 4000 event having forgotten the re-arranged fixture which had become a title decider for the North league.
The parties directly involved received due reward or punishment but there is an element of unfairness for other teams who, as the innocent bystanders, see their efforts for the year nullified. There is scope for a rule change to the scoring system but doing do simply and equitably is a significant challenge. This will be one of the points of discussion at the forthcoming club Annual General Meeting.
Looking at the CCCA League tables in this newsletter, there will also be much to commemorate at the AGM and the chairperson will host the celebrations at the bar in the time-honoured fashion. See you then!

Paul Hanks

## Puzzle Problem

White to play and mate in 2.


Last Month's solution (Usefulchess.com)
Position : 8/8/3B2p1/6K1/1PPkp3/2RnN2Q/8/nN6
1 Qh5 gxh5 2 Nf5\# [1 ... Nc2/Nb3 2 Nc2\#; 1 ... Nxb4 2 Qh8\#; 1 ... Kxe3 /N other 2 Bc5\#]

## Website to Watch

We have to wait until the end of the month for the next elite event. From $27^{\text {th }}$ May to $7^{\text {th }}$ June, the Norway Chess Open and Women's tournaments will feature the respective world champions and other top players over 10 rounds. Please see https://norwaychess.no/en for details and chess.com for live coverage.

## Diary Dates

| $8^{\text {th }}$ May | Last playing session at our venue |
| :--- | :--- |
| $15^{\text {th }}$ May | Club AGM from 7-30pm |
| $18^{\text {th }}$ June | AGM of the Cambridgeshire County |
|  | Chess Association at Ivy Leaf Club, St <br> Ives from 7-30pm |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ September | Start of next season (to be confirmed) |
| Result Round-up |  |

Cambridgeshire League

| New England A | $\mathbf{2} 1 / 2$ | St Neots A | $\mathbf{2 1 ²}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| P Walker | 0 | A Chapman | 1 |
| R llett | $1 ⁄ 2$ | J Vieira | $1 / 2$ |
| B Stephens | 0 | P Barkas | 1 |
| N Chedd | 1 | C Emery | 0 |
| P Spencer | 1 | J Greiller | 0 |


| Peterborough A | $\mathbf{2}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| H Neumaier | $1 / 2$ | R llett | $1 / 2$ |
| A Kaszuba | $1 / 2$ | P Walker | $1 / 2$ |
| J Bell | 0 | P Hanks | 1 |
| S Caraway | $1 ⁄ 2$ | B Stephens | $1 / 2$ |
| D Walton | $1 / 2$ | N Chedd | $1 / 2$ |


| New England A | $\mathbf{5}$ | St Neots A | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Ilett/Walker/Hanks | 3d | Default | 0 d |
| B Stephens | 1 | J Vieira | 0 |
| P Spencer | 1 | P Barkas | 0 |


| St Ives A | $\mathbf{3}$ | New England A | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| N Greenwood | 0 | P Walker | 1 |
| R Coleman | $1 / 2$ | R llett | $1 / 2$ |
| J Beck | $1 / 2$ | P Hanks | $1 / 2$ |
| D Rice | 1 | B Stephens | 0 |
| R Mann | 1 | C Russell | 0 |

Team 4000 competition

| Spalding | $\mathbf{2}$ | NE Patriots | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| F Neal | 1 | J Jennings | 0 |
| L McKenna | 1 | I Goodwin | 0 |
| C Dunne | 0 | J Peoples | 1 |
| NE Patriots | 3d | P'boro Knights | 0d |

Final Tables

| Division One | $P$ | W | D | L | Games | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England A | 9 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 251/2 | 12 |
| St Neots A | 9 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 201/2 | 8 |
| Peterborough A | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 7 |
| St Ives A | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 21 | 7 |
| Division Two | $P$ | W | D | L | Games | Pts |
| Peterborough B | 12 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 261/2 | 18 |
| Godmanchester | 12 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 13 |
| St Ives B | 12 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 241/2 | 13 |
| New England B | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 26 | 12 |
| St Neots B | 12 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 11 |
| Rookswood | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 21 | 8 |
| Ely | 12 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 21 | 8 |
| Team 7000 (N) | P | W | D | $L$ | Games | Pts |
| St Ives WC | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 141/2 | 8 |
| Peterborough | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | $131 / 2$ | 7 |
| NE Patriots | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 131/2 | 6 |
| Spalding | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 61/2 | 3 |
| Team 4000 (N) | $P$ | W | D | L | Games | Pts |
| NE Patriots | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 9 |
| Peterborough | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | $81 / 2$ | 5 |
| Rookswood | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $71 / 2$ | 4 |
| Spalding | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 6 |

## Club Championship

| White | Postponed |  | Black |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| C Russell | 1 | 0 | J Jennings |
| N Chedd | P | 8 May | E Knox |
| P Spencer | P | 8 May | P Hanks |
| I Goodwin | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | N Wedley |
| White | Round 11 |  | Black |
| P Hanks | P | 1 May | N Chedd |
| E Knox | P | 9 May | P Walker |
| J Jennings | P | P | I Goodwin |
| A Neville | 1 | 0 | S Reid |
| N Wedley | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | C Russell |


| Champions League | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline R \\ I \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline P \\ S \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline P \\ H \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline P \\ W \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline B \\ S \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline E \\ K \end{array}$ | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R llett | X | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | 5 |
| N Chedd | 0 | X | 1 | P | 1 | 1 | P | 3 |
| P Spencer | 0 | 0 | X | P | 1/2 | 1 | 1 | 21/2 |
| P Hanks | $1 / 2$ | P | P | X | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21/2 |
| P Walker | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | X | 0 | P | $11 / 2$ |
| B Stephens | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | X | 1/2 | $11 / 2$ |
| E Knox | $1 / 2$ | P | 0 | 0 | P | 1/2 | X | 1 |


| Challengers | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline A \\ N \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline D \\ D \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{N} \\ \boldsymbol{W} \end{gathered}$ | J | $\begin{aligned} & \hline S \\ & R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \boldsymbol{I} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C \\ & R \end{aligned}$ | Pts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Neville | X | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| D Dhokia | 0 | X | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $31 / 2$ |
| N Wedley | 0 | 1/2 | X | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 3 |
| $J$ Jennings | 1 | 1 | 1/2 | X | 0 | P | 0 | 2112 |
| S Reid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | X | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| I Goodwin | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | P | 1 | X | $1 / 2$ | 2 |
| C Russell | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 1 | 0 | 1/2 | X | 2 |

New England Club Ladder

| White |  | Black |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B Stephens | 0 | 1 | P Walker |

New England Club Ladder

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & : \stackrel{y}{y} \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | Change |  | Player | Record @ 24/04/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | +7 | R llett | 0,1 |
| 2 | - | -1 | P Walker | 1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1 |
| 3 | +6 | +9 | D Dhokia | 1,0,1 |
| 4 | -1 | +3 | C Russell | 1,1 |
| 5 | -1 | +1 | A Neville | 0,0,0,0 |
| 6 | -1 | -4 | P Hanks | 0 |
| 7 | -1 | -4 | P Spencer | 1 |
| 8 | -1 | +1 | E Knox | 1 |
| 9 | -1 | -5 | I Goodwin | 0,0,1 |
| 10 | - | -5 | $J$ Jennings | 1,0,0 |
| 11 | +1 | - | N Wedley | 0,1 |
| 12 | -1 | -2 | N Chedd | 0 |
| 13 | - | - | B Stephens | 0,0 |
| 14 | - | - | J Peoples | 0 |

Please note that final results need to be reported before the Club AGM so that the tournament outcome can be reported.

Club Handicap ： $10^{\text {th }}$ April 2024

| Player | Rating difference |  |  |  | Score |  |  |  | 历্ডّ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| I Goodwin | ＋24 | －234 | ＋139 | ＋78 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| E Knox | ＋193 | ＋234 | ＋312 | ＋358 | 1 | 1／2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 3 |
| C Russell | －193 | ＋179 | ＋66 | ＋179 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| J Jennings | －61 | －179 | －139 | －179 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| A Neville | －24 | ＋54 | －66 | －358 | 0 | 1／2 | 0 | 2 | 21／2 |
| D Dhokia | ＋61 | －54 | －312 | －78 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## New England Grand Prix

| Player | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \text { O. } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { O } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { © } \end{gathered}$ |  | 历 | 号 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P Walker | 51／2 | 7 | 4 | 11／21 | 11／2 | 2 |  | 211／2 | 1949 |
| N Chedd | 7 | 0 | $41 / 2$ | 6 | 0 | $11 / 2$ |  | 19 | 1856 |
| B Stephens | 41／2 | 0 | 41122 | 5 | 1／2 | 2 |  | 161／2 | 1743 |
| P Spencer | 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 21／2 |  | 141／2 | 1741 |
| R llett | 7 | 1 | $31 / 2$ | 1／2 | 1 |  |  | 121／2 | 1915 |
| C Russell | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | $1 / 2$ | 2 |  | 13 | 1488 |
| E Knox | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 21／2 |  | 91／2 | 1789 |
| P Hanks | 51⁄2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ |  |  | 9 | 1900 |
| N Wedley | 4 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | $11 / 2$ | 81／2 | 1562 |
| D Dhokia | $31 / 2$ | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 2112 | 7 | 1338 |
| I Goodwin | 21⁄2 | 1 |  | 1／2 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 1392 |
| $J$ Sutherland | － |  |  | 21／2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | $41 / 2$ | 1588 |
| $J$ Jennings | 21／2 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | $41 / 2$ | 1304 |
| A Neville | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1488 |
| S Reid | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1307 |
| J Peoples | － | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1150 |

## Match of the Month

Ed Knox（1752）v Paul Spencer（1735）
New England Club Championship Rd 9；06．03．2024

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{~d} 4 & \mathrm{~d} 5 \\
2 & \mathrm{Bf} 4 & \mathrm{c5}
\end{array}
$$

White has chosen to play the London System which is quite fashionable at club level．It gives easy development in a solid position without many early tactics．Black＇s reply is the most combative and is reminiscent of the Tarrasch Defence to the Queen＇s Gambit（to which it might later have transposed）．

| 3 | e3 | Nc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Nf3 | Nf6 |
| 5 | Nbd2 | Qb6 |

［PS］I thought I remembered from my theory that 5 ．．． Qb6 was best for Black（by Sam Shankland）but after
move 7 ，I was on my own and it definitely made for an interesting game．
6 dxc5
7 Rb1
Qxb2
Qa3

Fritz rates this virtually equal with 7 ．．．Qxa2 and $7 \ldots$ Qc3．The latter has appeared in several games played in grandmaster competitions and it has also been fertile ground for correspondence chess．One example continued 8 Bb5 e6 9 0－0 Be7 10 e4 0－0 11 e5 Nd7 12 Nb 3 with the threat to the black queen 13 Bd2 characteristic of poisoned pawn variations （Firouzja v So，Internet 2021）．

```
8 Bb5
N Ne5
```

9 c 4 is an option here．The main point is $9 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 410$ Ndxc4 Bg7 looks logical but 11 e4 and 12 Be3 again will scare Black＇s queen．After $9 \ldots$ a6，White has pressure with 10 Qa4．Did I say not too many tactics？


I was not sure I was ever likely to win the queen but gained a lot of time and a better position making threats and chasing it around．Here， 11 Be5 allows an easy escape via 11 ．．．Qa5 and although 11 Bc 7 has the serious threat $12 \mathrm{Rb} 3,11 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 4 / \mathrm{Nd} 7$ frees the long diagonal route．
11
12 Bc7

Bd7

OK．Let＇s give it a try now．．．

Ng4？
Black has several alternatives ：－
－ 12 ．．．Qa3 is not one because 13 Rb 3 Qxa2 14 Rb1 d5 15 Bc4 Qa4 16 Ra1
－ 12 ．．．Ne4 was a defence previously but 13 Nxe4（the reason for unpinning with 11 0－0） 13 ．．．dxe4 14 Rb3 Qf6 15 Ne5 has nasty
intentions on d7 and if $15 \ldots$ Qf5 16 g 4 Qe6 17 Bc 4 wins the queen on a very unexpected square!

- $12 \ldots$ b6 is a viable due to 13 Rb 3 Qa 5 but after 14 c 4 , White's position looks easier to play (14 ... dxc4 15 Nxc4 wins the queen, 14 ... Qxa2 15 cxd5 Nxd5 16 Qc1 etc.)
- $\quad 12 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4$ is dreadful to calculate.
- 13 exd4 Qxc7 merely initiates exchanges which help Black's defence
- 13 Bxd7+ Nxd7 14 Rxb7 Qc6 15 Qb1 Ne2+ (otherwise e.g. 15 ... Ne6 White has the tempo for $16 \mathrm{Ne5}) 16 \mathrm{Kh} 1 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ with no clear advantage either way
- 13 Be5 Bxb5 14 Rxb5 Nxb5 15 Bxc3 Nxc3. Does Black have enough assorted material?
- 12 ... Nb8 looks passive but may be best. [PS] I saw move 12 Bc 7 . I thought I had $12 \ldots$ Ne4 but realised this was a mistake. Also, I miscalculated 12 $\ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4$ and so believed $12 \ldots \mathrm{Ng} 4$ was my only move. But Ed did play better until about move 17 when it evened out a bit for me.

13 Rb3
Qf6


This may be the critical position. White is developed and should rightly be looking to strike before Black consolidates. One idea is to displace or exchange the black knights and follow up with Bc7-e5. However, 14 Bxc6 bxc6 (14 ... Bxc6 15 Qxg4) 15 h3 is simply thwarted by $14 \ldots$ Qxc6. This train of thought might lead to trying to "remove the guard" with 14 Nc 5 to exhange on d 7 and then capture the knight on g 4 . At worst, it threatens 15 Nxd 7 Kxd 7 leaving Black's king stranded in the centre, 15 Nxb 7 and $15 \mathrm{Nde4}$. How would Black react?
After 14 Nc 5 , a few sample variations show Black cannot simply move the bishop :

- 14 ... Be6 15 Nxb7
- 14 ... Bc8 15 Nde4 Qf5 (15 ... Qg7 16 Qxd5) 16 h3 Nf6 17 g4
- 14 ... Bf5 15 h3 Nge5 (15 ... Nh6 16 Nf3 and Bc7-e5 becomes real) 16 e4 Bxe4 17 Ndxe4 dxe4 18 Bxe5.

If Black counters with $14 \ldots \mathrm{a6}, 15 \mathrm{Be} 2$ is a useful gain of tempo because $15 \ldots$ Nge4 is ruled out by 16 f4.
14
...
Nh6

The respite allows $14 \ldots$ a6!? to forestall White resuming the attack with 15 Nc 5 as before.
15
c4
a6

15 ... Rc8 leaves Black's back rank weak after 16 cxd5 Rxc7 17 dxc6 bxc6 18 Ba6.

16
cxd5??
This gives Black some counterplay. 16 Ba4 attacks b7 and secures the point if you can visualise with computer-like precision viz. 16 ... dxc4 17 Nxc4 b5 18 Nc5 Bf5 19 Qd5 bxa4 20 Nd6+ exd6 21 Qxc6+ Ke7 22 Rb7 Qe6 23 Bxd6+ Kf6 mating.

| 16 | $\ldots$ | axb5 $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | dxc6 | Qxc6 |
| 18 | Be5 |  |

18 Qa1!? f6 19 Rc1 is worth considering.

| 18 | $\ldots$ | f6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Nb4 | Qc8 |
| 20 | Bb2 | e5? |

Black opens the position when preparing to castle with $20 \ldots \mathrm{Bg} 7$ is probably the priority.

21
a3
I should have played 21 Nd 5 !? Qd8 22 Ne 4 with numerous threats e.g. 22 ... Bg7 23 Rd3 exploiting the open d file.

| 21 | $\ldots$ | Be6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | Rc3 | Qd7 |
| 23 | Qc2 | Bxb4 |
| 24 | axb4 | Ra2 |
| 25 | Ne4 | $0-0$ |
| 26 | Rd1 | Rxb2 |

The start of an oversight by both players! Instead, 26 ... Qe7 27 Rc7 Rxb2 28 Rxe7 Rxc2 29 Rxe6 Rc6 is equal.

## 27 Nxf6+??

Unfortunately for me, I just completely missed that 27 Qc1 was an option and would have been good for me as I am still hitting the queen and rook.
[PS] I got a bit lucky with him missing 27 Qc1.

| 27 | $\ldots$ | Rxf6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | Rxd7 | Rxc2 |
| 29 | Rd8+ | Rf8 |
| 30 | Rxf8+ | Kxf8 |
| 31 | Rxc2 |  |

Under a bit of time pressure, I quickly captured the hanging rook by playing 31 Rxc3. Paul kindly pointed out that I can't take my own rook!

| 31 | $\ldots$ | Bc4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | Rd2 | Ke7 |
| 33 | f3 | Nf5 |
| 34 | Kf2 | Ke6 |
| 35 | Rd8 | Ne7 |

I am not $100 \%$ sure the moves are correct past move 35, as I input that all afterwards from memory.

| 36 | Rb8 | Nc6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | Rxb7 | h5 |
| 38 | Rg7 | Kf6 |
| 39 | Rd7 | Nxb4 |
| 40 | Rd6+ | Kg7 |
| 41 | g4 | Nd3+ |
| 42 | Kg3 |  |

The immediate danger comes with the passed $b$ pawn on the queenside. It would have been wiser to keep my king in touch with that flank with 42 Ke 2 . With an extra pawn and the mobile rook, the kingside can probably look after itself.
42
...
b4
43 gxh5

I can chase the minor pieces by 43 Rc6 Bf7 44 Rd6 Nb 2 but the extra time increments may just be bringing Black some relief.

| 43 | $\ldots$ | gxh5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | Rb6 | b3 |
| 45 | Rb7+ | Kf6 |
| 46 | Rb6+ | Be6 |
| 47 | f4 | exf4+ |
| 48 | exf4 | Kf5 |
| 49 | Rb5+ | Ke4 |
| 50 | Kh4 | $0-1$ |

I may have missed a chance to sacrifice my rook for both pawns and make Paul mate me with bishop and knight - which would be a more interesting finish!
[PS] Luckily, Ed did not go for the sacrifice leaving me with a bishop and knight mate. I would of really struggled with that!. I have looked at it before but cannot remember it. That could of made for a long evening if I had played 50 moves trying to figure it out!

## Ed Know/[Paul Spencer]

Postscript: PowerFritz18 declares it to be checkmate in 13 moves after 50 ... Kxf4 51 Rxb3 Bxb3 52 Kxh5 namely 52 ... Kf5 53 Kh6 Kf6 54 Kh5 Bd1+ 55 Kh4 Kg6 56 Kg3 Kh5 57 h4 Bg4 58 Kh2 Kxh4 59 Kg2 Be2 60 Kh2 Nf4 61 Kg1 Kg3 62 Kh1 Bc4 63 Kg1 Nh3+ 64 Kh1 Bd5\# being the longest continuation.

## Eye Opener

## Stanishevsky v Kornev <br> Correspondence, 1996

| 1 | d4 | d5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Nf3 | Nf6 |
| 3 | Bf4 | c5 |
| 4 | e3 | Qb6 |

With Black bringing the queen out a move earlier than the main game, White has a different option of developing the queen's knight which gives rise to some tactical opportunities.

## 5 <br> Nc3

This is the major point of difference with the main game where the knight is developed via d2 i.e. 5 Nbd 2 Nc6 would transpose. Now 6 Nb5 carries the serious threat of forking on c7. Either $5 \ldots$ c4 or $5 \ldots$ a6 diffuse the situation but Black accepts the poisoned pawn and aims to defend later. Perhaps, this is Black's motivation behind leaving the queen's knight on the back rank.

| 5 | $\ldots$ | Qxb2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | Nb5 | Na6 |

At first sight, this looks solid enough but White has a resource that should have been foreseen in correspondence chess.


Black can play on honourably after 9 ... bxa6 10 Nc7+ Kd8 11 Nxa8 Qc3+ but at a disadvantage.
Fritz points out a superior, lengthier continuation namely 7 a3 with the threat 8 Rb1 Qa2 9 Qc1 and 10 Rb2 trapping the black queen. So, $7 \ldots$. Bf5 8 dxc5 when

- 8 ... Qxc2 9 Qxc2 Bxc2 10 c6 bxc6 11 Nbd4 and Black has loose pieces
- 8 ... Bxc2 9 Qc1 Qxc1 10 Rxc1 Nb8 11 Nc7+ Kd8 12 Rc3
- 10 ... Bg6 11 c6 bxc6 12 Rxc6
- 10 ... Bf5 11 Nbd4 Bg6 (11 ... Bc8 12 Bb5+) 12 c6 wins the knight on a6
- 10 ... Ba4 11 c6 b6 12 Nc3 Nc5 (12 ... Bb3 13 Bxa6) 13 Nxa4 Nxa4 14 c7 etc.
In all cases, Black lacks development and is unable to resist the combined pressure of the double attack on c7 and the undermining of the defender on a6 by c5c6 and the open c file. Easier to see in analysis than over the board!

